

working under the 7th respondent management, which is not true, the Association as a body cannot have a redressal in such matters, unless it is aggrieved by the order of transfer. In number of judgments, the Apex Court has rejected the locus standi of the association, making sweeping statements and claiming sweeping reliefs, where it is in no way connected with the actual grievance, as reported in (1995) 1 SCC 85, in *Mahinder Kumar Gupta v. Union of India*.

20. I humbly submit that the 7th respondent diocese is reputed for transparency and accountability. It is an educational agency established for the purpose of serving the Christian Minorities and the poor and it is known for fair practices. This Writ Petition is absolutely devoid of merits and is liable to be dismissed in limine. The petitioner has not filed the case either with public interest or bona fide good will. The entire prayer is time barred and reflects misrepresentation of facts and legal positions.

Therefore this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to dismiss the above Writ Petition with exemplary cost and thus render justice.

Solemnly affirmed and signed
Before me on this the 25th day of
October 2010 at Chennai in my
Presence.

Before me,

Advocate, Chennai.