

service. Therefore, it is not necessary to review the said judgment, rather, we think that the correct course would be to delink the petitioner's case, from the batch their request on the basis of the documents produced. Accordingly we dispose of the Review Petition by directing the respondents to consider the question whether the petitioners are a corporate management and whether they maintain a common seniority list and whether there has always been transfer of teachers inter-school and on the basis of the answers to the questions (a decision shall be taken in accordance with law within a period of four weeks. The review petition is disposed of accordingly."

Therefore, the erroneous assumption of facts by the Full bench, in so far as this respondent is concerned, was directed to be rectified by the scrutiny of documents by the competent authorities by the same Full Bench. It was the duty of the authorities to consider the factual and documentary question whether the respondent No. 7 is a corporate management, with a common seniority list and whether there has always been transfer of teachers from one school to another, in the said management.

12. I humbly submit that as per directions of this Hon'ble Court dated 12.11.2007, the competent authorities have already examined the documents pertaining to the questions raised above and have issued appropriate proceedings dated 28.01.2009 in Na.Ka.No.82412/ D/E34/07. It is only a reconfirmation of the factual position that is followed by the 7th respondent management for more than a century. In the said proceedings, the Director of school Education, acting upon a letter of the Secretary to the Department of School Education dated 19.01.2009, has elaborately examined the corporate character of the management of the 7th respondent and confirmed that the