respondent, by his proceedings dated 02.01.2012, informed the petitioner that the Central Government, in exercise of the powers under Sections 2C and 23 of the FCRA, 2010, had authorised two Officers to inspect any account or record maintained by the petitioner Association for the period between 2006-07 and 2010-11, from 10.01.2012 to 13.01.2012 and the petitioner has co-operated for the same.

5.3. Subsequently, the petitioner Association received an order dated 09.02.2012 passed under Sections 9 and 10 of the FCRA, 2010, stating that the petitioner had violated certain provisions of the Act and thereby, prohibited the petitioner to receive any foreign contribution from the date of issuance of the order. Also, the banker of the petitioner Association was directed to freeze the bank account of the petitioner in Account No.07080100000620, until further directions from the Ministry of Home Affairs. Challenging the same, the petitioner filed W.P(MD)No.7587 of 2012 before this Court, wherein the order dated 09.02.2012, has been stayed.

5.4. Despite the same, the fourth respondent, vide proceedings dated 14.05.2012, informed the petitioner that there will be another inspection of the accounts and records maintained by the petitioner from 04.06.2012 to 08.06.2012 for the period between 01.04.2006 and 31.03.2011 and subsequently, the inspection was conducted from 02.07.2012 to 06.07.2012. Thereafter only, the fourth respondent has passed the impugned proceedings dated 25.09.2012, suspending the FCRA Registration Certificate bearing No.076030031R with immediate effect for a period of one hundred and eighty days.

5.5. Pendency of the writ petition, the petitioner Association has come forward with the present Miscellaneous Petition seeking a direction as above.

6. Dr.FR.A.Xavier Arul Raj, learned Counsel for the petitioner Association made the following submissions:

6.1. The order of the fourth respondent in directing the banker of the petitioner to freeze the bank account of the petitioner, has been stayed by this Court in M.P(MD)No.1 of 2012 in W.P(MD)No.7587 of 2012 and the same is still in force.

6.2. When that being so, there can be no bar for the banker of the petitioner to honour the cheques presented by the petitioner Association.

6.3. Moreover, the period of suspension is only for 180 days and the said period has already been lapsed and hence, the said order of suspension is not in operation.

6.4. The denial of the banker of the petitioner to honour the cheques presented by the petitioner Association would cause great prejudice to the day-to-day activities of the petitioner Association.

6.5. Hence, he prayed for an interim direction to the fifth respondent banker to honour the cheques by permitting the petitioner to operate the bank account in Account No.07080100000620 of the petitioner, without emphasizing on the revocation of the order of suspension, dated 25.09.2012, pending disposal of the writ petition.

1316677