



The Superintendent of R.C.Schools,

Thoothukudi Diocese.

To

The District Educational officer,

Thoothukudi.

Sub: St.Mary's Hr.Sec.School, Pothakalanvilai- sanctioning of salary for the month of June, 2013 – Reg.

Ref: Correspondent's letter to you dtd 5.6.2013.

I am once again placed in the unpleasant situation of pleading for the salary of our school teachers with you.

Given the status report submitted to me by our school headmistress, I understand that you are hesitating to sign the salary claim for this month too (June 2013) on the flimsy ground that an individual Mr.Peter Raj is pressuring you against assessing our staff salary with dire consequences including contempt proceedings before the court of law.

We are not in a position to exclude the salary Claim of Mr. Jeya seelan Antony Raj, M.A.M.Ed, our P.G. History Assistant as demanded by you for the following reasons.

- 1) His post was duly approved by your office wide letter dtd. R.C.No.10326/B3/98 dtd.18-12-1998.
- 2) The Honourable High court of Madras declined to pass an order of interim injunction against the approval of Mr. Jeya seelan Antony Raj dismissing W.M.P.No.22211 of 1998 dtd 22-09-1998 filed by Mr.Peter Raj, a copy of which was sent to the D.E.O, Thoothukudi by the hounourable high court.

The D.E.O, at that time went for the opinion of the Government pleader N.Selvaraj B.Sc.B.L on the Court order. The Government pleader expressed his opinion on the court order and gave a no objection Certificate in favour of the teacher. Based on the court order and the no objection certificate of the Thoothukudi Government pleader the post of our History P.G.Assistant Mr. Jeyaseelan Antony Raj was approved and salary assessed.

Till date he is drawing salary regularly without any break.

We agree that his post was approved by your office with the condition that any appointment will be subject to the result of the writ petition.

But the final word on the writ appeal (MD) No.223 of 2013 is not yet pronounced by the honourable high court and we have preferred a Review petition S.R.No22190/2013 dated 3.6.2013. I am not in a position to divulge the content of our Review petition since the matter is subjuidice

Sir, we feel like a democle's sword hanging on our head as a result of your non signing of our salary claim every month.

Already the affected teacher Mr. Jeyaseelan Antony Raj is on tatters with his salary on question mark every month though final verdict is not yet pronounced on his case. He is a perennially sick man living on medicines and one month back he celebrated his daughter's marriage incurring heavy debts.

At this juncture the stoppage of salary with an arbitrary order by your office just because of an individual's unjust insistence will lead him to an extreme state of mind with unpleasant consequences.

Finally we are of the considered opinion that you are not a party in the verdict of the honourable court as shown by the individual and in the absence of a specific direction from the court any contempt proceedings against you as threatened by the individual will not hold good.

As matters stand thus, we believe that our Salary claim will be signed by you without assigning any preconditions forth with failing which we are compelled to seek legal remedy.

Thank You.

Sincerely Yours,

Superintendent, R.C. Schools Enclostings in.

- 1) Honourable High court order in W.M.P.No.22211of 1998 in W.P.No.14632 of 1998.
- 2) No objection Certificate issued by the Government pleader dtd.14-12-1998.
- 3) Correspondent's letter dtd: 5-6-2013.
- 4) Correspondent's letter dtd: 13.6.2013.

Copy Submitted to,

The C.E.O, Thoothukudi for necessary action and redressal.